Special Matrices

(Strict) Diagonal Dominance

- The magnitude of each diagonal element is (either):
 - strictly larger than the sum of the magnitudes of all the other elements in its row
 - strictly larger than the sum of the magnitudes of all the other elements in its column
- One may row/column scale and permute rows/columns to achieve diagonal dominance (since it's just a rewriting of the equations)
 - Recall: choosing the form of the equations wisely is important

• E.g. consider
$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 9 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Switch rows $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix}$ and column scale $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ -.5c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix}$

(Strict) Diagonal Dominance

- <u>Strictly</u> diagonally dominant (square) matrices are guaranteed to be <u>non-singular</u>
- Since $det(A) = det(A^T)$, either row or column diagonal dominance is enough
- Column diagonal dominance guarantees that <u>pivoting is not required</u> during LU factorization
- However, pivoting still improves robustness

• E.g. consider $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ -2 & 50 \end{pmatrix}$ where 50 is more desirable than 4 for a_{11}

Recall: SVD Construction (Unit 3)

- Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ so that $A^T A = A A^T = I$, and thus $U = V = \Sigma = I$
- But $A \neq U\Sigma V^T = I$ What's wrong?
- Given a column vector v_k of V, $Av_k = U\Sigma V^T v_k = U\Sigma \hat{e}_k = U\sigma_k \hat{e}_k = \sigma_k u_k$ where u_k is the corresponding column of U

•
$$Av_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = u_1$$
 but $Av_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = u_2$

- Since U and V are orthonormal, their columns are unit length
- However, there are still two choices for the direction of each column
- Multiplying u_2 by -1 to get $u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ makes U = A, and thus $A = U\Sigma V^T$ as desired

Symmetric Matrices

- Since $A^T A = A A^T = A^2$, both the columns of U and the columns of V are eigenvectors of A^2
- They have identical (but potentially opposite) directions: $u_k = \pm v_k$
- Thus, $Av_k = \sigma_k u_k$ implies $Av_k = \pm \sigma_k v_k$
- That is, the v_k (and u_k) are eigenvectors of A with eigenvalues $\pm \sigma_k$
- Similar to the polar SVD, can pull negative signs out of the columns of U into the σ_k to obtain U = V and $A = V\Lambda V^T$ as a modified SVD
- $A = V\Lambda V^T$ implies $AV = V\Lambda$ which is the matrix form of the eigensystem of A
- Here, Λ contains the positive and negative eigenvalues of A

Making/Breaking Symmetry

Row/column scaling can make or break symmetry:

- Row scaling $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$ by -2 gives a non-symmetric $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ -6 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$ Additional column scaling by -2 gives a symmetric $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & -6 \\ -6 & -16 \end{pmatrix}$
- Scaling the same row/column together in the same way preserves symmetry

 Important: a nonsymmetric matrix might be inherently symmetric when properly rescaled/rearranged

Symmetric Approximation

- A non-symmetric A can be approximated by a symmetric $\hat{A} = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^T)$ by averaging off-diagonal components
- Solving the symmetric $\hat{A}c = b$ instead of the non-symmetric Ac = b gives a faster/easier (but erroneous) approximation to a problem that might not require too much accuracy
- The inverse of the symmetric \hat{A} (or the notion thereof) may be used to devise a preconditioner for Ac = b

Inner Product

- Consider the space of all vectors with length *m*
- The dot/inner product of two vectors is $u \cdot v = \sum_i u_i v_i$
- The <u>magnitude</u> of a vector is $||v||_2 = \sqrt{v \cdot v} \ (\geq 0)$
- Alternative notations: $\langle u, v \rangle = u \cdot v = u^T v$
- Weighted inner product defined via an nxn matrix A
- $< u, v >_A = u \cdot Av = u^T Av$
- Since $\langle v, u \rangle_A = v^T A u = u^T A^T v$, weighted inner products commute when A is symmetric
- The standard dot product uses identity matrix weighting: $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle_I$

Definiteness

- Assume A is symmetric so that $\langle u, v \rangle_A = \langle v, u \rangle_A$
- A is positive definite if and only if $\langle v, v \rangle_A = v^T A v > 0$ for $\forall v \neq 0$
- A is positive semi-definite if and only if $\langle v, v \rangle_A = v^T A v \ge 0$ for $\forall v \neq 0$
- We abbreviate with SPD and SP(S)D
- A is <u>negative definite</u> if and only if $\langle v, v \rangle_A = v^T A v < 0$ for $\forall v \neq 0$
- A is <u>negative semi-definite</u> if and only if $\langle v, v \rangle_A = v^T A v \leq 0$ for $\forall v \neq 0$
- If A is negative (semi) definite, then -A is positive (semi) definite (and vice versa)
- Thus, can convert such problems to SPD or SP(S)D
- A is considered indefinite when it is neither positive/negative semi-definite

Eigenvalues

- SPD matrices have all eigenvalues > 0
- SP(S)D matrices have all eigenvalues ≥ 0
- Symmetric negative definite matrices have all eigenvalues < 0
- Symmetric negative semi-definite matrices have all eigenvalues ≤ 0
- Indefinite matrices have both positive and negative eigenvalues

SPD Matrices

- When A is SP(S)D, $\Lambda = \Sigma$ and the standard SVD is $A = V\Sigma V^T$ (i.e. U = V)
- The singular values are the (all positive) eigenvalues of A
- Construct V with det V = 1 (as usual), and all $\sigma_k > 0$ implies that there are no reflections
- Since all $\sigma_k > 0$, SPD matrices have full rank and are invertible
- SP(S)D (and not SPD) has at least one $\sigma_k = 0$ and a null space
- Often, one can slightly modify SPD techniques for SP(S)D matrices
- Unfortunately, indefinite matrices are significantly more challenging

Cholesky Factorization

• SPD matrices have an LU factorization of LL^T and don't require elimination to find it

• Consider $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & l_{21} \\ 0 & l_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11}^2 & l_{11} l_{21} \\ l_{11} l_{21} & l_{21}^2 + l_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix}$ • So $l_{11} = \sqrt{a_{11}}$ and $l_{21} = \frac{a_{21}}{l_{11}}$ and $l_{22} = \sqrt{a_{22} - l_{21}^2}$ for(j=1,n){

> for(k=1,j-1) for(i=j,n) $a_{ij} = a_{ik}a_{jk};$ $a_{jj} = \sqrt{a_{jj}};$ for(k=j+1,n) $a_{kj}/=a_{jj};$ }

\\ For each column j of the matrix

\Loop over all previous columns k, and subtract a multiple of column k from the current column j

• This factors the matrix "in place" replacing A with L

Incomplete Cholesky Preconditioner

- Cholesky factorization can be used to construct a preconditioner for a sparse matrix
- The full Cholesky factorization would fill in too many non-zero entries
- So, <u>incomplete</u> Cholesky preconditioning uses Cholesky factorization with the caveat that only the nonzero entries are modified (all zeros remain zeros)

Rules Galore

- There are many rules/theorems regarding special matrices (especially for SPD)
- It is important to be aware of reference material (and to look things up)
- Examples:
 - SPD matrices don't require pivoting during LU factorization
 - A symmetric (strictly) diagonally dominant matrix with positive diagonal entries is positive definite
 - Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel iteration converge when a matrix is strictly (or irreducibly) diagonally dominant
 - Etc.